Value Function Iteration versus Euler equation methods

Wouter J. Den Haan London School of Economics

© 2011 by Wouter J. Den Haan

August 7, 2011

Overview

- How to do value function iteration (VFI)
- **2** VFI versus Euler equation methods
 - 1 convergence
 - 2 speed
 - 3 complex problems

Bellman equation

$$V(x) = \max_{x_{+1} \in \Gamma(x)} U(x, x_{+1}) + \mathsf{E}_t \left[\beta V(x_{+1})\right]$$

Essence of VFI

- $V^i(x)$: flexible functional form
 - piecewise linear (or higher-order spline)
 - discrete valued function (if $\Gamma(x)$ has $\chi < \infty$ elements)
 - quadratic (or higher-order polynomial)
- $V^{i+1}(x)$ is obtained from

$$V^{i+1}(x) = \max_{x_{+1} \in \Gamma(x)} U(x, x_{+1}) + E_t \left[\beta V^i(x_{+1}) \right]$$

Essence of VFI

• This works in general, but to implement on computer functional form of $V^i(x)$ must stay the same

(so computer can store coefficients characterizing function)

Possible ways to implement VFI

1. Linear-Quadratic

- $U(\cdot)$ is quadratic and constraints are linear $\implies V^{i}(\cdot)$ would remain quadratic
- !!! To get a true first-order approximation to policy function you cannot take linear approximation of constraints
 => either get rid of constraint by substitution or use the "correct" LQ approximation (see perturbation slides)

2. Discrete grid $\implies \Gamma(x)$ and V(x) have finite # of elements

Possible ways to implement VFI

- 3. Piecewise linear
 - choices are no longer constrained to be on grid
 - $V^{i}\left(\cdot
 ight)$ is characterized by function values on grid
 - Simply do maximization on grid
- 4. Regular polynomial
 - choices are no longer constrained to be on grid
 - calculate values V on grid
 - obtain V^{i+1} by fitting polynomial through calculated point

Convergence

- There are several convergence results for VFI
- Some such results for Euler equation methods
 - but you have to do it right (e.g. use time & not fixed-point iteration)
- But especially for more complex problems, VFI is more likely to converge

Speed; algorithm choice

- VFI: you can only iterate
 - slow if discount factor is close to 1
- Euler equation method have more options
 - calculating fixed point directly with equation solver typically faster

VFI tends to be slow in many typical economic applications

- Reason: value function is flat \Longrightarrow hard to find max
 - important to be aware of this
 - Krusell and Smith (1996) show that utility loss of keeping capital stock constant is minor in neoclassical growth model
 - But shouldn't a flat utility function be problematic for Euler eq. methods as well?

Example to show Euler eq. methods less affected by flatness

$$\max_{x_1, x_2} x_1^{1-\nu} + x_2^{1-\nu}$$

s.t. $x_1 + x_2 \le 1$
 $x_1, x_2 \ge 0$

Consider a huge move away from optimum

$$u \quad u(1,1) \quad u(2,0) \quad \text{consumption equivalent loss} \\
0.01 \quad 2 \quad 1.9862 \quad 0.7\% \\
0.001 \quad 2 \quad 1.9986 \quad 0.07\%$$

First-order condition:

$$\left(rac{x_1}{x_2}
ight)^{-
u}=1 ext{ or } x_1=1^{-1/
u} imes x_2$$

Marginal rates of substitution:

$$u x_1 = x_2 = 1 x_1 = 2, x_2 = 0$$

0.01 1 ∞

0.001 1 ∞

Dealing with complex problems

- Both VFI and Euler-equation methods can deal with inequality constraints
- Euler equations require first-order conditions to be sufficient
 - this requires concavity (utility function) and convex opportunity set
 - this is not always satisfied

Non-convex problem - example

Environment:

• Two technologies:

•
$$y_t = k_t^{\alpha}$$

• $y_t = Ak_t^{\alpha}$ with $A >$

- Higher-productivity technology can be used after paying a one-time cost ψ

1

Non-convex problem - example

$$W(k) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \max_{k_{+1}} k^{\alpha} - k_{+1} + \beta W(k_{+1}), \\ \max_{k_{+1}} k^{\alpha} - k_{+1} - \psi + \beta V(k_{+1}) \end{array} \right\}$$
$$V(k) = \max_{k_{+1}} Ak^{\alpha} - k_{+1} + \beta V(k_{+1})$$

RHS Bellman equation for low capital stock (k=0.1)



Ultimate value function



References

- Slides on perturbation; available online.
- Slides on projection methods; available online.
- Judd, K. L., 1998, Numerical Methods in Economics.
- Krusell, P. & A. Smith, 1996. Rules of thumb in macroeconomic equilibrium A quantitative analysis, Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control.
- Rendahl, P., 2006, Inequality constraints in recursive economies.
 - shows that time-iteration converges even in the presence of inequality constraints